Edited by

Tadeja Zupancic Matevz Juvancic Spela Verovsek Anja Jutraz

eCAADe 2011 Respecting Fragile Places

education and research in computer aided architectural design in europe

Ljubljana

A CD-ROM containing the digital version of the proceedings is enclosed with this book.

Editors

izr. prof. dr. Tadeja Zupancic (tadeja.zupancic@fa.uni-lj.si) asist. dr. Matevz Juvancic (matevz.juvancic@fa.uni-lj.si) Spela Verovsek (spela.verovsek@fa.uni-lj.si) Anja Jutraz (anja.jutraz@fa.uni-lj.si) University of Ljubljana, Faculty of Architecture, Slovenia

1st Edition, September 2011

CIP - Kataložni zapis o publikaciji

Narodna in univerzitetna knjižnica, Ljubljana

72:004(082)

CONFERENCE on Education in Computer Aided Architectural Design in Europe (29 ; 2011 ; Ljubljana) Respecting fragile places : proceedings of the 29th Conference on Education in Computer Aided Architectural Design in Europe, Ljubljana, Slovenia, September 21-24, 2011 / edited by Tadeja Zupančič ... [et al.]. - Brussels : Education in Computer Aided Architectural Design in Europe ; Ljubljana : Faculty of Architecture, 2011

ISBN 978-9-4912070-1-3 (eCAADe)

1. Gl. stv. nasl. 2. Zupančič-Strojan, Tadeja

257414400

Copyright © 2011 Publisher: eCAADe (Education and Research in Computer Aided Architectural Design in Europe) and UNI Ljubljana, Faculty of Architecture

www.ecaade.org

Background photo: A. Earwen Book set up: Idearna d.o.o. Print: Silveco d.o.o. Number of copies: 250

All rights reserved. Nothing from this publication may be reproduced, stored in computerised system or published in any form or in any manner, including electronic, mechanical, reprographic or photographic, without prior written permission from the publisher.

eCAADe 2011

Respecting Fragile Places

Proceedings of the 29th Conference on Education in Computer Aided Architectural Design in Europe

September 21-24, 2011 Ljubljana, Slovenia University of Ljubljana, Faculty of Architecture

www.fa.uni-lj.si/ecaade2011

Edited by Tadeja Zupancic Matevz Juvancic Spela Verovsek Anja Jutraz

Acknowledgements

First of all, we are most thankful to the authors of papers in these proceedings, to the keynote speakers – Ziga Turk, Anna Chronaki and Jose Pinto Duarte - and to all the session chairs of the conference.

The eCAADe council was supportive through the whole conference preparation process: from the initial proposal evaluation to the quality control in the selection of paper contributions. We thank Wolfgang Dokonal – the current eCAADe president – and Bob Martens, for all their creative suggestions. The process of the abstract, full paper and PhD workshop submissions would not have been possible without the help of Andy Brown and Martin Winchester's IT support.

We would like to thank the members of the international reviewing committee who juried more than 160 submitted abstracts for the conference: Sherif Abdelmohsen, Henri Achten, Aleksander Asanowicz, Gideon Aschwanden, Can Baykan, Jaka Bonca, Frederic Bosche, Vassilis Bourdakis, Alan Bridges, Gabriela Celani, Tomo Cerovsek, Ilka Cerpes, Scott Chase, Birgul Colakoglu, Claudia Czerkauer-Yamu, Bauke de Vries, Wolfgang Do-konal, Dirk Donath, Jose Pinto Duarte, Dietrich Elger, Arzu Erdem, Or Ettlinger, Alenka Fikfak, Thomas Fischer, Pia Fricker, Harald Gatermann, Thomas Grasl, Jan Halatsch, Jeremy Ham, Urs Hirschberg, Mustafa Emre Ilal, Anja Jutraz, Matevz Juvancic, Sora Key, Joachim Kieferle, Vojko Kilar, Mike Knight, Terry Knight, Volker Koch, Branko Kolarevic, Silke Konsorski, Jose Kos, Krzysztof Koszewski, Alexander Koutamanis, Antje Kunze, Ljubo Lah, Steffen Lemmerzahl, Andrew Li, Thorsten Michael Loemker, Eduardo Lyon, Earl Mark, Peter Marolt, Bob Martens, Javier Monedero, Volker Mueller, Michael Mullins, Tomaz Novljan, Yeonjoo Oh, Giuseppe Pellitteri, Chengzhi Peng, Frank Petzold, Ahmad Rafi, Rabee Reffat, Jaime Roset, A. Benjamin Spaeth, Martijn Stellingwerff, Rudi Stouffs, Bige Tuncer, Ziga Turk, Chris Tweed, Jos P. van Leeuwen, Johan Verbeke, Spela Verovsek, Klaus Wassermann, Stefan Wrona, Martina Zbasnik-Senegacnik and Tadeja Zupancic.

We express our gratitude to the team of the Slovene congress centre Cankarjev dom, especially to Maja Vidergar, Tina Kramberger, Mojca Juvancic and Mina Krevl, who arranged the registration and hotel reservation process, the organizational support for the conference dinner and for the social programme of the last conference day.

We would like to thank the TU Graz team for the recordings (and streaming) of the sessions and the keynotes. Finally, we want to thank the sponsors of the 29th eCAADe conference: The Slovenian Research agency, Autodesk, Bentley, Butan plin, IB – PROCADD and Pekarna hlebček for their contributions, and to the Faculty of Architecture of the University of Ljubljana, that generously helped in providing technical, administrative and financial support, including the venue space to host the conference. In particular, we would like to thank the faculty members, especially Peter Gabrijelcic, the dean of the faculty, for his encouragements, Evgen Klemenc, for his IT expertise, Mojca Potocnik Kogovsek, Lidija Matul and Darja Mesojedec for their financial arrangements, Magda Straus and Lidija Bratovic for their general administrative support, Vili Ogrizek, for his advices, and the students involved in the conference preparation and realization, for their enthusiastic work.

eCAADe 2011 conference chair and organizing committee

Tadeja Zupancic, Spela Verovsek, Matevz Juvancic, Anja Jutraz

Contents

Theme	7
Acknowledgements	9
Keynote Speakers	11
Round table	21
What happened after the "Hype" on Virtual Design Studios? Henri Achten, Krzysztof Koszewski, Bob Martens	23
CAAD Curriculum	33
An exploration in teaching architectural design for construction and fabrication Kathrin M. Wiertelarz	35
Computer Simulation as an Integral Part of Digital Design Education in Architecture Arzu Gonenc Sorguc, Semra Arslan Selcuk, Fatma Zehra Cakici	43
How to Teach Architects (Computer) Programming Gabriel Wurzer, Sema Alaçam, Wolfgang Lorenz	51
What is the Goal in Teaching Basic CAD? Dana Matějovská, Henri Achten	57
Towards Improved Architecture Education Kateřina Nováková, Henri Achten, Dana Matějovská	63
Introducing Architectural Design Foundations Through Algorithmic Design And Experimentations With Materials Nilüfer Kozikoğlu, Emrah Kavlak	70
City Modelling	77
Public Space Patterns Nuno Montenegro, José N. Beirão, José P. Duarte	79
Sensing digital co-presence and digital identity Angelos Chronis, Prarthana Jagannath, Vasiliki Aikaterini Siskou, Jonathan Jones	87
Generative and parametric design in Brazilian social housing production Eduardo Sampaio Nardelli, Charles de Castro Vincent	93

Single Image Ambiguity and Adjustment of Cultural Heritage Modeling Approach	99
Vesna Stojaković, Bojan Tepavčević	
Digital Form Finding	107
Yasha J. Grobman, Ruth Ron	
Using shape grammars as a rule based approach in urban planning - a report on practice	116
Patrick Schirmer, Noboru Kawagishi	
Strategic Planning and Design with Space Syntax	125
Claudia Czerkauer-Yamu, Andreas Voigt	
Sema Città	134
Klaus Wassermann	
The backbone of a City Information Model (CIM)	143
Jorge Gil, Júlio Almeida, José P. Duarte	
Emerg.cities4all	152
Alexandra Paio, Joaquim Reis, Filipe Santos, Pedro Faria Lopes, Sara Eloy, Vasco Rato	
An Integrated Model For Emergent City Behavior Based On User Movements	159
Emirhan Coşkun, Gulen Cagdas	
Generative sub-division morphogenesis with Cellular Automata and Agent-Based Modelling	166
Nikolay Popov	
Collaborative Design	175
Computing Ontologies to Support AEC Collaborative Design	177
Antonio Fioravanti, Gianluigi Loffreda, Armando Trento	
Bridging the Gap	187
Gerhard Schubert, Eva Artinger, Frank Petzold, Gudrun Klinker	
OIKODOMOS Virtual Campus	194
Leandro Madrazo, Paul Riddy	
What we learnt from design teaching in collaborative virtual environments	203
Leman Figen Gül	
Design Tool Development	213
j	
Simulation Design Tools	215
Sebastian Gmelin, Kristian Agger, Michael Henry Lassen	
Parametric urban design	225
José N. Beirão, Pirouz Nourian, Bardia Mashhoodi	
Feasibility Computation of the Perimeter Block Housing in Early Design Process	235
Seongki Lee	
Evolutionary Developmental Design for Non-Programmers	245
Patrick Janssen, Cihat Basol, Kian Wee Chen	243
Iterative Virtual Prototyping:	253
Patrick Janssen, Kian Wee Chen, Cihat Basol	233
racher Janssen, man wee Chen, Char Dasor	

	261
Mathew Emmett, Anke Tiggemann, Katharina König	
Usability as a Key Quality Characteristic for Developing CAAD Tools and Environments	269
Burak Pak, Johan Verbeke TouchControl	279
David Lemberski, Marco Hemmerling	2/9
Media Space for Architecture Studio Courses	285
Rafael Villazón, William A. Romero R., José Tiberio Hernández	205
Evolutionary Strategy to Design Optimized Architecture	293
Carlos Ignacio de la Barrera Poblete	293
Building's environmental design:	302
Charline Weissenstein, Jean-Claude Bignon, Pascal Humbert	502
A "green design" method to integrate daylight in the early phase of the design process	309
Mohamed Anis Gallas, Didier Bur, Gilles Halin	505
The Polymorphic Diagram	318
Outaibah Hamadah	510
A Mass Customization Oriented Housing Design Model Based on Genetic Algorithm	325
Özge Güngör, Gülen Çağdaş, Özgün Balaban	
Maintenance and Inspection of Façades of Building Supported on Virtual Reality Technology	332
Alcínia Z. Sampaio, Ana R. Gomes, Augusto M. Gomes	
A Method on Using Video in Architectural Design Process: Matchmoving	339
Özgür Dağlar, Togan Tong	
Digital Aids to Design Creativity	349
	0.15
New materiality: ideation, representation and digital fabrication	349 351
New materiality: ideation, representation and digital fabrication Carlos L. Marcos	351
New materiality: ideation, representation and digital fabrication Carlos L. Marcos Who cares about right angles?	• • •
New materiality: ideation, representation and digital fabrication Carlos L. Marcos Who cares about right angles? Sven Schneider, Reinhard König, Robert Pohle	351 361
New materiality: ideation, representation and digital fabrication Carlos L. Marcos Who cares about right angles? Sven Schneider, Reinhard König, Robert Pohle Urban Furniture	351
New materiality: ideation, representation and digital fabrication Carlos L. Marcos Who cares about right angles? Sven Schneider, Reinhard König, Robert Pohle Urban Furniture Christoph Schindler, Kyeni Mbiti	351 361 368
New materiality: ideation, representation and digital fabrication Carlos L. Marcos Who cares about right angles? Sven Schneider, Reinhard König, Robert Pohle Urban Furniture Christoph Schindler, Kyeni Mbiti Camera Musica	351 361
New materiality: ideation, representation and digital fabrication Carlos L. Marcos Who cares about right angles? Sven Schneider, Reinhard König, Robert Pohle Urban Furniture Christoph Schindler, Kyeni Mbiti	351 361 368
New materiality: ideation, representation and digital fabrication Carlos L. Marcos Who cares about right angles? Sven Schneider, Reinhard König, Robert Pohle Urban Furniture Christoph Schindler, Kyeni Mbiti Camera Musica Anke Tiggemann, Marco Hemmerling, David Lemberski	351 361 368
New materiality: ideation, representation and digital fabrication Carlos L. Marcos Who cares about right angles? Sven Schneider, Reinhard König, Robert Pohle Urban Furniture Christoph Schindler, Kyeni Mbiti Camera Musica	351 361 368 374
New materiality: ideation, representation and digital fabrication Carlos L. Marcos Who cares about right angles? Sven Schneider, Reinhard König, Robert Pohle Urban Furniture Christoph Schindler, Kyeni Mbiti Camera Musica Anke Tiggemann, Marco Hemmerling, David Lemberski	351 361 368 374
New materiality: ideation, representation and digital fabrication Carlos L. Marcos Who cares about right angles? Sven Schneider, Reinhard König, Robert Pohle Urban Furniture Christoph Schindler, Kyeni Mbiti Camera Musica Anke Tiggemann, Marco Hemmerling, David Lemberski Information/Knowledge Architecture & BIM Archilnspection Project Farid Mokhtar Noriega, Victor Garcia Barba, Jose Antonio Merino, Jose Julio Zancajo, Teresa Mostaz	351 361 368 374 381 383
New materiality: ideation, representation and digital fabrication Carlos L. Marcos Who cares about right angles? Sven Schneider, Reinhard König, Robert Pohle Urban Furniture Christoph Schindler, Kyeni Mbiti Camera Musica Anke Tiggemann, Marco Hemmerling, David Lemberski Information/Knowledge Architecture & BIM Archilnspection Project Farid Mokhtar Noriega, Victor Garcia Barba, Jose Antonio Merino, Jose Julio Zancajo, Teresa Mostaz Design Configuration with Architectural Objects	351 361 368 374 381 383
New materiality: ideation, representation and digital fabrication Carlos L. Marcos Who cares about right angles? Sven Schneider, Reinhard König, Robert Pohle Urban Furniture Christoph Schindler, Kyeni Mbiti Camera Musica Anke Tiggemann, Marco Hemmerling, David Lemberski Information/Knowledge Architecture & BIM ArchiInspection Project Farid Mokhtar Noriega, Victor Garcia Barba, Jose Antonio Merino, Jose Julio Zancajo, Teresa Mostaz Design Configuration with Architectural Objects Fredrik Wikberg, Anders Ekholm	351 361 368 374 381 383 a Pérez
New materiality: ideation, representation and digital fabrication Carlos L. Marcos Who cares about right angles? Sven Schneider, Reinhard König, Robert Pohle Urban Furniture Christoph Schindler, Kyeni Mbiti Camera Musica Anke Tiggemann, Marco Hemmerling, David Lemberski Information/Knowledge Architecture & BIM Archilnspection Project Farid Mokhtar Noriega, Victor Garcia Barba, Jose Antonio Merino, Jose Julio Zancajo, Teresa Mostaz Design Configuration with Architectural Objects	351 361 368 374 381 383 a Pérez 393 403

Digital Master Builder: From 'Virtual' Conception to 'Actual' Production through Information Models Michał Górczyński, Jan Rabiej	412
Using Open Standards Based Building Information Modelling to Simulate Actual Design and Construction Processes Igor Svetel, Milica Pejanović, Nenad Ivanišević	421
A Study on BIM based Energy Efficient Design Improvement for Rural Standard Drawing	430
and Specification in South Korea Jin Yul Ma, Seung Yeon Choo, Ji Hyo Seo, Seung Woo Jeong	430
BIM.BON . A BIM system for architectural practice in Brazil	439
Leandro Araújo, Roberto Andrés.	455
Area cartograms in building product model visualization	444
Helga Tauscher1 Raimar J. Scherer	
Generative and Parametric Design	451
Sensitive skin design: a generative approach	453
Eleni Kolovou	
Performative Design for Spatial Acoustics	461
A. Benjamin Spaeth, Achim Menges	
Anthropometric and behavior data applied to a generative design system	469
Ana Claudia Vettoretti, Pablo Resende, Mário Guidoux Gonzaga, Benamy Turkienicz	
ZipShape Mouldless Bending II	477
Christoph Schindler, Margarita Salmerón Espinosa	
Parametric bus stop shelters in rural areas	485
Jaroslav Hulin, Jiri Pavlicek, Martin Kaftan	
Optimizing the "characteristic structure"	491
Gabriela Celani, José N. Beirão, José P. Duarte, Carlos Vaz	
Performative architecture as a guideline for transformation	501
Canan Albayrak, Bige Tunçer	511
Digital tools in the architectural design of a geodesic dome Maria Vrontissi, Styliani Azariadi	511
Digital Thonet	521
Mário Barros, José P. Duarte, Bruno Chaparro.	521
"Operation of parametric modelling" and/or "operation of architectural conception"?	530
Aurélie de Boissieu, Caroline Lecourtois, François Guéna.	
Eco-envolventes': A parametric design approach to generate and evaluate façade	
configurations for hot and humid climates	539
Rodrigo Velasco, Daniel Robles	
Programming Languages for Generative design	549
António Leitão, Luís Santos	
Turmitecture	558
Stephan Droste	

New Design Concepts and Strategies	563
Degrees of Interaction	565
Henri Achten Performative Architectural Morphology	573
Oliver David Krieg, Karola Dierichs, Steffen Reichert, Tobias Schwinn, Achim Menges	5/5
The sensitive tower	581
Guilherme Lassance, Cédric Libert, Patricia Figueira Lassance and Maria Elisa Feghali	501
Sensitive transformations of physical territories	589
Anastasios Tellios, Stylianos Psaltis	
Anisotropic Operations	595
Mark Weston	
Precedence and Prototypes	603
Home suggestion service in real estate searching system	605
Yoonhyun Kim, Sangjin Park, Jieun Park, Sunjoong Kim, Jihyun Lee	
Virtual Spaces in Urban Landscapes	615
Werner Lonsing	
When form really follows function	619
Luis Quelhas Marques, José P. Duarte, Joaquim Jorge	
Flexible Systems	628
Gernot Riether, Knox Jolly	
Nimble Urban Dwellings	635
David Celento, Rebecca Henn.	
Research, Education and Practice	645
Interactive Spatial Design course analysis	647
Vassilis Bourdakis	
Building Fragile Places	653
Brad Kligerman, Jamil Mehdaoui	
Supporting Fragility in Distance Design Education	663
Steve Garner, Nicole Schadewitz, Georgina Holden, Theodore Zamenopoulos, Katerina Alexiou	
Digital design of reconstruction proposals in Chile	673
Alejandro Veliz, Pablo Sills	
Utilizing a Web-based Geographic Virtual Environment Prototype for the Collaborative	
Analysis of a Fragile Urban Area	679
Burak Pak, Johan Verbeke, Chotima Ag-Ukrikul	
Experiencing design with grammatical explorations in the beginning design studio	689
Mohamed S. Ibrahim, Alan Bridges, Scott C. Chase, Samir Bayoumi, Dina S. Taha	

Environmental Design eTutor Ahmed Sarhan, Peter Rutherford	699
Out of the box design	709
Aant van der Zee, Bauke de Vries Digital "serial vision" - new approach in urban composition teaching	716
Aleksander Asanowicz ARCHI21	725
Moira Hunter, Scott Chase, Bradley Kligerman, Tadeja Zupancic	
The experience of an academic simulation laboratory	734
Barbara E. A. Piga, Eugenio Morello, Valerio Signorelli	
Shape Studies	743
Introduction to Architecture Studio: Geometry, Rules and Patterns	745
Birgul Colakoglus Lattice Spaces	751
Sascha Bohnenberger, Klaas de Rycke, Agnes Weilandt	751
Computational Design Synthesis	759
Moritz Fleischmann, Julian Lienhard, Achim Menges	
Operating within Fragile Environments	768
Stavros Vergopoulos, Dimitris Gourdoukis	
Digital design and fabrication Lana Kudumović, Amra Taso, Omer Hasanbegović	779
A computational interpretation of "De re aedificatoria"	788
Filipe Coutinho, Eduardo Castro e Costa, José P. Duarte, Mário Kruger	,
Methodological Approach for the Integration of Material Information and Performance	
in the Design Computation for Tension-Active Architectural Systems	799
Sean Ahlquist, Achim Menges	
Simulation, Visualization, Prediction and Evaluation	809
Development of optimized geometry for low energy super tall office with BIM	811
Han Soo Ryu, Kwon Hyoung Lee, Jung Rim Ryu, Seung Yeon Choo	819
Responsive Acoustic Surfaces Brady Peters, Martin Tamke, Stig Anton Nielsen, Søren Vestbjerg Andersen, Mathias Haase	819
From the 3D survey "ad Oggetto" to the technological representation of the architecture	829
Danilo Di Mascio, Pierpaolo Palka	
Form Follows Performance	837
Shinya Okuda, Chua Liang Ping	
Flying Augmented Reality Volker Koch, Sebastian Ritterbusch, Andreas Kopmann, Marius Müller, Thorsten Habel, Petra von Both	843
Pedestrian Modeling as Generative Mechanism for the Design of Adaptive Built Environment	850
Odysseas Kontovourkis	

859 868
875
877
886
895 nmitt
904
913
915
925
933
s 942
951
960
967

Computing Ontologies to Support AEC Collaborative Design

Towards a Building Organism delicate concept

<u>Antonio Fioravanti¹, Gianluigi Loffreda², Armando Trento³</u> ^{1, 2, 3}Sapienza University of Rome - Italy ^{1, 2, 3}http://dau.uniroma1.it ¹antonio.fioravanti@uniroma1.it, ²gianluigi.loffreda@uniroma1.it, ³armando.trento@uniroma1.it

Abstract. To help architects in real life work it is needed to clarify what a building is from their point of view. Till now we have seen that building design aid programs are mainly conceived from an "external" perspective, that of computer scientists. Difficulties related to architectural design support programs result from: an insufficient overall model of the building; an inadequate formalization of information; an underestimated complexity inherent in the design process. To overcome these difficulties we introduce a 'systemic' building model that takes into account discipline-specific goals by means of relation structures to relate entities of domains and ontologies to formalize knowledge. *Keywords.* Design architecture; building organism; ontologies; collaborative design; situated design.

TO DESIGN ARCHITECTURE MEANS TO COMPUTE AIMS AND TO COLLABORATE

We agree with H. Simon's statement:

"... when we use satisficing methods, it often does not matter whether or not the total set of admissible alternatives is 'given' by a formal but impracticable algorithm. It often does not even matter how big that set is. For this reason 'satisficing' methods may be extendable to design problems in that broad range where the set of alternatives is not given..." (Simon, 1996, p. 121).

This is exactly what happens in the Architecture/Building/Construction – ABC – sector, considering that design is also an exploration activity to solve contradictions regarding a solution domain that is not a-priori given, as any building is a singular, prototypical integrated and complex system in a changing context with interleaved problems.


The solution of a problem, in ABC and other sectors, is not simply a functional one expressed by an analytical formula or by generating solutions (Negroponte, 1975) as it refers not only to basic human needs (facilities providing an environment that is comfortable, useful, safe, with infrastructure) but also other needs that are difficult to formalize: the aims of construction. For instance: revitalizing a city – Guggenheim museum in Bilbao by F.O. Gehry; advertising building – Sony palace at Potsdamer Platz in Berlin; linking two cultures – the English Channel tunnel; "keep the world in one" – Pocket PC.

Like humans, according to Linus Torvalds (Pekka et al., 2001), also computer science will be applied to non-functional programs such as:

"...progress is about going through those very same things as 'phases' in a process of evolution, a matter of passing from one category to the next. The categories, in order, are 'survival', 'social life', and 'entertainment'".

The same thing happens in the field of computer aided design:

- initially the concern was to apply the computer's power of numerical calculation to mathematical formulae (expressions of physical phenomena) which need numerous iterations of calculation cycles and therefore, owing to the problem of rounding off (reduction to significant figures), it is necessary to have a higher numerical precision (e.g., Sperry-Univac, 18 bit (1) hardware and software for the Apollo mission; diffusion of F.E.M. applied to structural problems);
- tools were later developed to model and support interactions with other subjects-actorsagents by means of expert systems and later by the collaborative design paradigm (e.g. computer configuration software, XCON (2), infectious disease analysis, MYCIN (3), and collaboration like those of T. Sasada (2000);
- the time has come to use them to satisfy human aspirations (e.g., possessing the computer-object has aesthetic validity in itself - the iPad), or



to be applied to the appeal of the relationship between perceived space-sensations to arouse in the subjects (e.g., a variable shape temporary pavilion in Seoul - Prada Transformers, fig. 1 and 2; Koolhaas, 2009).

We believe that the "boundary" between the cost-effectiveness of applying CAD techniques and the resolution of problems by human beings is currently shifting more and more towards higher semantic levels (Carrara et al., 2009) but this contributes to allowing the human being himself to carry out the action of design conception to a greater extent.

The aim of the present research is to shift this "boundary" forwards by providing a support for the real time verification of design and regulatory constraints in a delocalized working environment in which many specialists are involved.

However, we consider that the global overall 'satisficing' solutions of design problems can currently be attained, together with support systems, also by means of trade-offs among actors. We consider that an effective support system should allow actors to modify their own specialist goals and adapt their own specialist design solutions, as collaboration in building design is an inherent necessity (Kvan, 2000).

It should be pointed out that an actor in this paper is used in a more extended meaning than is customary in the literature (Wix, 1997) as it can also represent a human-like actor that has an active role in the process: it passes the Turing test, it can be a partner in a design process – it is a true intelligent assistant. Active means dynamic, as it can modify its definition (ontology) on the fly; and situation sensitive, as it can




Figure 1 Temporary pavilion, R. Koolhaas, Seoul, 2009. The four configurations: 1 -Fashion display, 2 - Movie showing, 3 - Art exhibition and 4 - Special event.

.

modify its behaviour along with the "context" (in a broad sense, condicio, Carrara e Fioravanti, 2004).

Moreover collaborative work implies that actors "have mutual and joint interests as the overall outcome success/failure is shared" (Carrara and Fioravanti, 2008, p. 1416).

To be effective, this kind of collaboration means that different specialized actors working on a (single) project have to communicate and mutually understand each other in order to be aware of the problems of others. One of the key points is thus the formalization of entities and their interfaces (entity/entity or entity/human of the same specialist domain or of different ones).

DIFFICULTIES INHERENT IN ARCHITEC-TURAL DESIGN SUPPORT PROGRAMS

In the ABC community a number of efforts have been devoted to overcoming these problems in order to integrate competencies into a single application program and to share knowledge. Among the various initiatives, we mention BIM and IFC, which are mainly devoted to defining and linking the entities of a building and to facilitating data exchange. But design is much more than (less or more accurately) describing a component of a building as it is an activity aimed at helping the actor to conceive artefacts, to record expertise, to implement experience-based rules of design and at "... changing existing situations into a preferred ones" (Simon, 1996, p. 111). Consequently, the effective formalization of information, in a broad sense, remains an unsolved problem.

This kind of difficulty is due to the lack of:

 An overall model of the building that is representative of its complexity and effective for actors, capable of introjecting aspirations and processing them.

In any specialist domain an actor involved in a design process manages his/her own entities in order to attain his/her own specific goal in a collaborative work. The formal representation of BIM and IFC does not contemplate this aspect as they consider a building as the sum of entities of a class (Class hierarchy structured set of entities). In the same way a watch is not just the sum of a crystal, a dial, hands and a movement, given that it is possible to construct other mechanisms with the same elements, but only one assembly forms the system that can tell the correct time.

A building is actually a system: several classes directed towards a goal (e.g. habitability, energy saving, constructability, etc.). This goal-oriented view is attained through several objectives and sub-objectives, e.g. habitability includes space usability, ergonomics, space brightness, reciprocal disposition of spaces, relationship between spaces and the outside, etc.

 An adequate formalization of information pertaining to any individual actor and exchanged among the various actors.

A wide variety of computing and representation software is available on the market that is capable of performing even relatively complex tasks within well-defined disciplinary boundaries although it is designed to enhance the capacity to verify a given design approach rather than to help find a solutions' conception or choose among hypotheses. These software applications are actually, in that sense, of no help in design collaboration, and indeed even make it more difficult: software specialization



Figure 2 Temporary pavilion, R. Koolhaas, Seoul, 2009 - Fashion display configuration. increases the difficulty of communication and reciprocal understanding among the various actors, as data required by the different specialized programs differ from one actor to the next.

Moreover, each type of software demands the input of data that must generally be inferred from the interpretation of the documents of the design solutions of the other actors involved in the process. In this way different interpretations of the meanings of a "same" entity are a cause of misunderstandings that are all the more detrimental to the overall outcome the greater the degree of complexity involved.

3. Difficulties inherent in the design process.

In building design not only is the 'transformation' of meanings and of characteristics of a (quasi-same (4)) entity extremely important, going from one actor (or specialist domain, e.g. architectural composition in which a wall may represent an internal partition of the building, fig. 3a) to another actor (or specialist domain – e.g. Building Science where a wall may be a shear wall, fig. 3b), but also the dynamics of these interactions between actors over time, thus the 'history' of the project– it is a data and process driven phenomenon like a narrative novel.

The exchange of project information and knowledge among the actors (or disciplines) is not given once and for all. The 'translation' of the entities among the actors is not fixed for all time. Above all, this exchange, and accompanying modifications, is not occasional but continual: the actors work (design) the (quasi-)same elements-entities. The development of the project is a process whereby, through various phases (brief, preliminary, detailed, constructive and maintenance) its definition is achieved. The activity of actors in the process may be serial or parallel, the work on the entities-components may be disjoined or concurrent, but what is certain is that problems of consistency, versioning, authority, intellectual property, privacy, etc., arise

These types of difficulty must be set within the framework of the design intended as a system process to realize a project. Design is a means for expressing a project; it is an aptitude of design will: it is an act of faith (Cross, 1984, chap. 9).

The first difficulty is essential from the standpoint of the project as defined above; the two others are ancillary and modal in nature: formalization is important but only in so far as it can serve the function of being computed in order to represent a goal; the process model is required in order to lawfully govern the procedures and effectively to govern the interventions by the actors vis-à-vis the project solution.

The entire building (and the design process underpinning its gradual definition) possesses a number of goals-rules for different actors that are independent of the specific project and often are not consistent among them. Beside these goals-rules there are many ones that are dependent of specific project and context



Figure 3a (left) The wall as a diaphragm between spaces – Villa Tugendhat Mies Van Der Rohe, Brno, 1928-30;

Figure 3b (right) The wall as a shear wall in a stress simulation program. The "Rule of rules" to be implemented does not exist: the human being (and the support systems) are in need of a progressive refinement of their capacity; for this reason, there are faculties of architecture and engineering on the one hand and knowledge based systems capable of self-modification and updating in the face of concrete problems, on the other.

A typical design aspiration of the actors is the will to construct buildings that are suitable for their times, for which two fundamental requirements must be satisfied: a strong link between interior and exterior and a proper environmental sustainability. In achieving this, two conflicting classes of requirements may emerge, which are a demonstration of the absence of a "Rule of rules": the requirements of maximum transparency and minimum dispersion (e.g. the Fondation Cartier, Paris); or the aim is to achieve a building-sculpture for promotional purposes in which the articulation and the 'movement' of the forms is in contrast with the minimum surface goal required by energy saving (Vitra Museum at Weil-am-Rein); or else when the thinness of a highly insulating wall clashes with the cost of the envelope (Change Phase Material wall).

These simple examples show how architectural design operates on the contradictions. In our view the synthesis of the above is the elective terrain of the designer and the reasoning tools (inferential, deductive, inductive, Bayesian networks, etc.) 'external' to the entities-building components in question or in some cases rules (and objectives) 'internal' to the same entities, extend and boost the potential of the human mind.

A comparison may be made with the game of chess: there are rules 'internal' to the individual pieces-entities which consists of 'mechanically' or better said 'typographically' (Hofstadter, 1988, Chapter III) applicable deductive rules (e.g., how the various pieces move on the board) and other rules governing their reciprocal movement that require higher level models for the configuration of scenarios (e.g., castling, opening, sacrificing certain pieces, end game); others again are 'external' to the chess system and are related to global strategy of the game (fuzzy, predictive, trying to edge adversary's pieces, etc.), or act at and even higher level of human motivation, that of beliefs (e.g. finishing a chess game quickly in order to go and have a game of tennis).

It is appropriate that the latter rules, the 'external' ones, should pertain to the human being also for another reason: unlike chess, in which there is a huge but finite number of possible combinations of patterns of pieces on the board, the 'pieces' of the building are increasing and evolving constantly; the rules governing the economic, social and productive context are also changing constantly.

One further aspect that should be considered and which is often underestimated is that reasoning (or the rules) are not applied mechanically or slavishly to all the entities of a class or to lower classes but often actually depend on how these entities are mutually related in the history of the individual project.

A NEW BUILDING MODEL AND A BETTER ENTITY FORMALIZATION

To overcome these difficulties we propose:

1. Using Relation Structures to relate entities to a "systemic" building model.

To make this possible, in a specialist domain, entities of one class and others of another one, are related to each other by means of a specific relationship, a Relation Structure – RS – which an inference engine can use to compute a goal (Carrara and Fioravanti, 2001).

With reference to buildings, there are two fundamental ontology classes: that of the spaces and their aggregations, which in a project go to make up the so-called 'Spatial Class' domain, and that of the physical elements (components) and their aggregations which in a project make up the constructive apparatus, defined by UNI (Italian Standard Organization) as a 'Technological Class' domain (fig. 4).

For instance, an architect can conceive a building like a system made up of entities of these two classes (spaces and components) plus his/her own specific Relation Structure that applies its inferential engine habitability rules to linked entities of the two domains: there are many possible assemblies of building components but only a few of them are space aggregations where people can 'satisficingly' live or enjoy better lives (fig. 5).


2. Using ontologies to formalize knowledge

Another fundamental requirement for overcoming the above problems is to understand knowledge, namely technical knowledge. Technical knowledge concepts can be formalized and structured by means of the technology of ontology, in order to define entities and by means of explicit semantics to define their meanings.

In the present context, knowledge refers to the fields of architecture, energy saving, sustainability, building stability, etc. and its entity definition includes both the formal structure of the entities considered in a project (and the related aspects, i.e. meanings, geometry, properties, relations, etc.) and the formal models (generally mathematical) that allow simulations, verifications and reasoning to be performed (Carrara et al., 2009).

3. Using Filters to deal with the actor's perspective This model of a design process is similar to Multiverse theory where as many universes exist as there are measurement operation results: many specialist domains are seen and interpreted by actors. This view reveals that actors work together on the same footing, and that each of them from his/her own point of view acts in a situated context (Gero and Reffat, 2001).

As ABC design is a multi-disciplinary sector it needs entities (concepts) of an ontology of a specialist domain to be linked to those of another one.




The Building Object made by Space System – Ω (right) and Technology System – Ω -1

(left); correspondingly subdivided into Spatial Domain and Elementary Space Domain, and Technological Domain and Material Domain.

Figure 5

'R' layer - Relation Structure together with Inference Engine - can model a custom and discipline-specific goal. It relates Spatial class domain - Ω -1 - entities with Technological class domain - Ω - ones.



Discipline-specific Goal

Likewise, using Relation Structure within a single disciplinary domain, we can map entities of several different specialist domains (ontologies) to link meanings by means of a Filter mechanism (Fioravanti, 2008). This way actor can become aware of the situated design solutions and problems of others.

ONTOLOGY REPRESENTATIONS AS A MEANS FOR KNOWLEDGE ATOM REPRE-SENTATION

The chosen way to find an answer to these questions is the development of a Collaborative Architectural Design system based on Knowledge formalized by several Ontologies that can significantly improve collaboration between different specialists (Ugwu, 2005; Fioravanti, 2008).

One of the greatest difficulties in this field is how to rapidly formalize the prototype entities, which we may term a knowledge atom, making up the ontology of a specialist actor.

So far we initially took into consideration three types of formalization to model Systemic Knowledge of Building and its entities (components, building parts, characteristics, constraints, relationships, etc.) as explained in Fioravanti and Loffreda (2009), subsequently we concentrate our efforts in using Lisp and Protégé tools.

The first implementation in Lisp allows to manipulate the instantiation and the inference engine 'on the fly' and to modify the characteristics of the entities relatively freely and precisely, indeed ad hoc, but at the cost of a artisanal implementation.

The implementation of entities is very slow as programmers would have to be skilled in architecture and in computer science with the aggravating circumstance of no visual editor program aid.

The main characteristic of entities is related to the 'type' of entity: the membership 'class'. This one is formalized by means of a custom made frame structure, similar to the one investigated by McCarthy (1960), by means of an AKO slot (A Kind Of). Our frame has a four-tier structure: frame, slot, facet, value).

The advantage of being able to manipulate also the type of an entity's structure allows not only to change the inheritance of an entity but also to mix entity assemblies. The freedom we are given by this formal logic enables us to compose an entity of a class (whole-of) also from entities of different classes belonging from heterogeneous domains, for ex. a room of a 'Spatial Class' domain with a pillar of the 'Technological Class' domain.

In our case we implemented the System of Spaces which, together with the System of Technologies, contribute to fully defining a building so that the two systems (normally separate) can be interfaced directly through a shift of the inheritance relationship (AKO slot in the frame structure) with the assembly one (fig. 6).

At the time of instantiation this peculiarity makes it possible to simultaneously verify the constraints that are normally found on 'orthogonal' logical planes: classes and assemblies. Using a plain and widespread ontology editor - Protégé - is the second approach. Each entity of the building was formalized according to the triplet 'Meaning-Properties-Rules' (Carrara et al., 2009; Fioravanti and Loffreda, 2009).


In this case, the distinction among entity 'meaning' (name and description), 'properties' (slots and associated attributes) and 'rules' is sharp and well defined. The rules, in particular, are formalized by means of SDK of Protégé, the PAL – Protégé Axiom Language; - they operate on the instances of the ontology and establish relations, constraints and specifications associated with the entities to which they are applied.

The constraints checking and verification, as it is separated from the definition of the entity, is not contemporaneous with the instantiation of the object and so the processes of verification and control of consistency, coherence and congruence are necessarily subsequent to the completion of the instantiation of the entities involved in the design solution. Another limitation is that each relationship of an entity should be specific: as its coherence check examines constraints one to one. For instance it is not possible to have a general relationship like "space_room_has_a" (wall, or door, or window, or etc. with some cardinality on each of its elements) but several specific relationships like "space_room_has_a_wall" plus "space_ room_has_a_door", "space_room_has_a_...".

CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE DEVELOPMENTS


On these premises a formal model of the structure of knowledge used in the design process as well as of its management has been developed, based on:

- a formalization of knowledge (by means of pure Lisp and Protégé,...);
- an inferential engine (how to infer and to compute knowledge);
- some goal-oriented Relation Structures (f.i., evacuation safety path).



Swapping between two domains

Figure 6 An example of 'R' layer: Swapping between two domains: a possible 'AKO shift' between entities of a Technology Class domain $-\Omega$ -1 – with entities of a Spatial Class domain - Ω . Figure 7 Building organism as an holistic synthesis of Space/ Technology, Relation Structure and Man.



Building Organism

The paper innovates and clarifies two concepts: a systemic vision of buildings and a satisficing solution for goals.

The first one is not limited to the ABC sector but is generally true of all industrial sectors. Every sector, every disciplinary domain has a specific goal that can be formalized by means of a Relation Structure and an Inference Engine that jointly direct a space-component dipole towards a goal. For instance: void and particle towards material structure in physics, room and wall towards habitability in architecture, space and duct towards comfort in plant engineering, etc.

The second concept is related to the perceived quality of building usability (and before, constructability), but is not an aseptic and pernickety check list of requirements: building quality means men and women have to live in it in comfort and with aesthetic enjoyment.

Research has so far underestimated the role of men living in a "design space": their concrete action interacts with the model of Space/Technology classes and with other men.

It can be seen as the spirit in the Zen conception that divides Man into three parts: body-mindspirit. Likewise, the building can be seen as a merging of these "aspects": the Space/Technology dipole (body), the Relation Structure (mind) and Man (spirit). A building is a living thing: the building organism (fig. 7).

REFERENCES AND NOTES

- Carrara G, Fioravanti A, Loffreda G and Trento A 2009, 'An Ontology-Based Knowledge Representation Model for Cross-disciplinary Building Design - A General Template', in G Çağdaş and B Çolakoğlu (eds), *Computation: the New Realm of Architectural Design*, Cenkler Printing, Istanbul, TU, pp. 367-373.
- Carrara G, Fioravanti A, Nanni U 2009, 'Knowledgebased Collaborative Architectural Design', *International Journal of Design Sciences & Technology*, vol.16, no. 2, pp. 1-16.
- Kvan T 2000, 'Collaborative design: what is it?', Martens, B (guest ed.), Special Issue eCAADe '97, Automation in Construction, vol. 9, no. 4, pp. 409-415.
- Carrara G and Fioravanti A 2008, 'Multi-level Ontology for a Cross-culture Collaborative Design', in P Cunningham and M Cunningham (eds), *Collaboration and the Knowledge Economy: Issues, Applications*, Case Studies, IOS Press, Amsterdam, NL, vol. 2, pp. 1415-1422.
- Carrara G and Fioravanti A 2001, 'A Theoretical Model of Shared Distributed Knowledge Bases for Collaborative Architectural Design', in JS Gero and K Hori (eds), *Strategic Knowledge and Concept Formation III*, Heron Island, Sydney, AU, pp. 129-143.
- Cross N 1985, L'architetto automatizzato, Liguori Editore. Italian translation of The automated architect, Pion Ltd., London, UK, 1977.
- Fioravanti A and Loffreda G 2009, 'Formalizing and Computing Ontologies to Speed up the Construction of Knowledge-based Collaborative Systems – Three Different Approaches', in G Çağdaş and B Çolakoğlu (eds), Computation: the New Realm of Architectural Design, Cenkler Printing, Istanbul, TU, pp. 341-348.
- Gero JS and Reffat RM 2001, 'Multiple representation as platform for situated learning systems in designing', *Knowledge-Based Systems*, vol. 14, no. 7, pp. 337-351.

Hofstadter D 1988, Gödel, Escher, Bach: un'Eterna Gir-

landa Brillante, Adelphi Edizioni, Milano. Italian edition of Gödel, Escher, Bach: an Eternal Golden Braid, 1979, Basic Books, New York, US.

- Koolhaas R 2009, 'Prada Transformers', *Detail*, vol. 12, pp. 1333-1335.
- McCarthy J 1960, 'Recursive functions of symbolic expressions and their computation by machine', *Communication of the ACM I*, vol. 7, pp. 184-195.
- Minski M 1975, 'A framework for representing knowledge', in PH Winston (ed.), *Psychology of computer vision*, McGraw-Hill, New York, US.
- Negroponte N 1975, Soft Architecture Machines, MIT, Cambridge, MA, US.
- Pekka H, Torvalds L, Castells M 2001, *The Hacker Ethic* and the Spirit of the Information Age, Random House, New York, NY, US.
- Sasada T, Kaga A, Nakahama K, Yamaguchi S, Jyozen T, Oh S 2000, 'Collaborative Design System for Citizen Participation', CAADRIA 2000, pp. 35-44.
- Simon HA 1996, *The Sciences of the Artificial*, 3rd ed., MIT Press, Cambridge, MA, US.
- Ugwu OO, Anuba CJ and Thorpe A 2005, 'Ontological foundation for agent support in constructability assessment of steel structure – a case study', *Automation in Construction*, vol. 14, no. 1, pp. 99-114.
- Wix J 1997, ISO 10303 Part 106, BCCM (Building Construction Core Model) /T200 draft.
- Fioravanti A 2008, http://www.marhi.ru/ AMIT/2008/4kvart08/Fioravanti/Article.php, An e-Learning Environment to Enhance Quality in Collaborative Design. How to Build Intelligent Assistants and 'Filters' Between Them. Architecture and Modern Information Technology, vol. 4 no. 5, [last accessed on 26th May 2009].
- (1) That was more precise compared to its contemporary computers where a "word" was coded as 16 bits.
- (2) In the 'eighties the Digital Equipment Corporation – DEC – developed XCON – eXper CONfigurer – an expert system to configure its computers based on "Rete" algorithm, a program by C. Forgy written in OPS5.

- (3) In the early 'seventies at Stanford University a software was developed ("-mycin" is the suffix many antibiotics have) to identify bacteria causing severe infections, such as bacteremia and meningitis, and to recommend antibiotics with the dosage adjusted for each patient's body weight and other characteristics. It was written in Lisp.
- (4) The same in the sense of referring to a "same portion" of the building; the example given refers to a wall between two slabs, and certainly not to the wall from the standpoint of the architect or the entire shear wall incorporating the wall as for the structural engineer.

Index of Authors

		Cooluur Ensistere	051
Achten, Henri	23, 63, 565	Coşkun, Emirhan	951
Agger, Kristian	215	Costa, Eduardo Castro	788
Ag-Ukrikul, Chotima	679	Coutinho, Filipe	788
Ahlquist, Sean	799	Dağlar, Özgür	339
Alaçam, Sema	51	Dalton, Sheep	933
Albayrak, Canan	501	de Boissieu, Aurélie	530
Alexiou, Katerina	663, 933	Dengel, Andreas	403
Almeida, Júlio	143	de Rycke, Klaas	751
Alvito, Pedro A.	859	de Vries, Bauke	709
Andersen, Søren Vestbjerg	819	Dierichs, Karola	573
Andrés., Roberto	439	Di Mascio, Danilo	829
Araújo, Leandro	439	Droste, Stephan	558
Artinger, Eva	187	Duarte, José P.	79, 143, 491, 521, 619, 788
Asanowicz, Aleksander	716	Ekholm, Anders	393
Azariadi, Styliani	511	Eloy, Sara	152
Balaban, Özgün	325	Emmett, Mathew	261
Barba, Victor Garcia	383	Espinosa, Margarita Salm	erón 477
Barros, Mário	521	Ettlinger, Or	925
Basol, Cihat	245, 253	Feghali, Maria Elisa	581
Bayoumi, Samir	689	Fernandes, Bruno	859
Beirão, José N.	79, 225, 491	Fioravanti, Antonio	<u>177</u>
Bignon, Jean-Claude	302	Fleischmann, Moritz	759
Biloria Nimish	967	Gallas, Mohamed Anis	309
Bohnenberger, Sascha	751	Garner, Steve	663
Bourdakis, Vassilis	647	Gil, Jorge	143
Bridges, Alan	689	Gmelin, Sebastian	215
Brown, Andre	942	Gomes, Ana R.	332
Bur, Didier	309	Gomes, Augusto M.	332
Cagdas, Gülen	159, 325	Gonzaga, Mário Guidoux	469
Cakici, Fatma Zehra	43	Górczyński, Michał	412
Celani, Gabriela	491	Gourdoukis, Dimitris	768
Celento, David	635	Grobman, Yasha J.	107
Chaparro, Bruno	521	Guéna, François	530
Chase, Scott C.	689, 725	Gül, Leman Figen	203
Chen, Kian Wee	245, 253	Güngör, Özge	325
Chevrier, Christine	915	Haase, Mathias	819
Choo, Seung Yeon	430, 811	Habel, Thorsten	843
Chronis, Angelos	87	Hakak, Alireza M.	967
Colakoglu, Birgul	745	Halatsch, Jan	895
Coşkun, Emirhan	159	Halin, Gilles	309
	1.55	amy Gires	505

Halin, Gilles Hamadah, Qutaibah Hasanbegović, Omer Haß, Sebastian Hemmerling, Marco Henn, Rebecca Hernández, José Tiberio Holden, Georgina Hulin, Jaroslav Humbert, Pascal Hunter, Moira Ibrahim, Mohamed S. Ivanišević, Nenad
Jacobi, Martina Maldaner
Jacquot, Kevin
Jagannath, Prarthana
Janssen, Patrick
Jeong, Seung Woo
Jolly, Knox
Jones, Jonathan
Jorge, Joaquim
Jutraz, Anja
Juvancic, Matevz
Kaftan, Martin
Kavlak, Emrah
Kawagishi, Noboru
Kim, Sunjoong
Kim, Yoonhyun
Kligerman, Brad
Kligerman, Bradley
Klinker, Gudrun
Koch, Volker
Kolovou, Eleni
König, Katharina
König, Reinhard
Kontovourkis, Odysseas
Kopmann, Andreas
Koszewski, Krzysztof
Kozikoğlu, Nilüfer
Krieg, Oliver David
Kruger, Mário
Kudumović, Lana
Kukul, Tuğgen

915	Kunze, Antje	895
318	Langenhan, Christoph	403
779	Lassance, Guilherme	581
403	Lassance, Patricia Figueira	581
279, 374	Lassen, Michael Henry	215
635	Lecourtois, Caroline	530
285	Lee, Jihyun	605
663	Lee, Kwon Hyoung	811
485	Lee, Seongki	235
302	Leitão, António	549
725	Lemberski, David	279, 374
689	Libert, Cédric	581
421	Lienhard, Julian	759
895	Liwicki, Marcus	403
915	Loffreda, Gianluigi	105
87	Lonsing, Werner	615
245, 253	Lopes, Faria Pedro	152
430	Lorenz , Wolfgang	51
628	Madrazo, Leandro	194
87	Ma, Jin Yul	430
619	Marcos, Carlos L.	351
904	Mark, Earl	868
886	Margues, Luis Quelhas	619
485	Martens, Bob	23
70	Martins, Susana	859
116	Mashhoodi, Bardia	225
605	Matějovská, Dana	57,63
605	Mbiti, Kyeni	368
653	Mehdaoui, Jamil	653
725	Menges, Achim	461, 573, 759, 799
187	Merino, Jose Antonio	383
843	Montenegro, Nuno	79
453	Morello, Eugenio	734
261	Müller, Marius	843
361	Nardelli, Eduardo Sampaio	93
850	Nielsen, Stig Anton	819
843	Noriega, Farid Mokhtar	383
23	Nourian, Pirouz	225
70	Nováková, Kateřina	63
573	Okuda, Shinya	837
788	Paio, Alexandra	152
779	Pak, Burak	269, 679
951	Palka, Pierpaolo	829
		022

Park, Jieun	605	Seo, Ji Hyo	430
Park, Sangjin	605	Signorelli, Valerio	734
Pavlicek, Jiri	485	Sills, Pablo	673
Pejanović, Milica	421	Siskou, Aikaterini Vasiliki	87
Pérez, Teresa Mostaza	383	Sorguc, Arzu Gonenc	43
Peters, Brady	819	Spaeth, A. Benjamin	461
Petzold, Frank	187, 403	Stojaković, Vesna	99
Piga, Barbara E. A.	734	Svetel, Igor	421
Ping, Chua Liang	837	Taha, Dina S.	689
Poblete, Carlos Ignacio de la Barrera	293	Tamke, Martin	819
Pohle, Robert	361	Taso, Amra	779
Popov, Nikolay	166	Tauscher, Helga	444
Psaltis, Stylianos	589	Tellios, Anastasios	589
Rabiej, Jan	412	Tepavčević, Bojan	99
Ramos, Duarte	859	Tiggemann, Anke	261, 374
Rato, Vasco	152	Tong, Togan	339
Reichert, Steffen	573	Trento, Armando	<u>177</u>
Reis, Joaquim	152	Tunçer, Bige	501
Resende, Pablo	469	Turkienicz, Benamy	469, 895
Riddy, Paul	194	van der Zee, Aant	709
Riether, Gernot	628	Vanegas, Carlos	895
Ritterbusch, Sebastian	843	Varoudis, Tasos	933
Robles, Daniel	539	Vaz, Carlos	491
Romero, William A. R.	285	Velasco, Rodrigo	539
Ron, Ruth	107	Veliz, Alejandro	673
Rua, M. Helena	859	Verbeke, Johan	269, 679
Rutherford, Peter	699	Vergopoulos, Stavros	768
Ryu, Han Soo	811	Verovsek, Spela	886
Ryu, Jung Rim	811	Vettoretti, Ana Claudia	469
Sampaio, Alcínia Z.	332	Villazón, Rafael	285
Santos, Filipe	152	Vincent, Charles de Castro	93
Santos, Luís	549	Voigt, Andreas	125, 904
Sarhan, Ahmed	699	von Both, Petra	843
Schadewitz, Nicole	663	Vrontissi, Maria	511
Scherer, Raimar J.	444	Wassermann, Klaus	134
Schindler, Christoph	368, 477	Webb, Nicholas	942
Schirmer, Patrick	116	Weber, Markus	403
Schmitt, Gerhard	895	Weilandt, Agnes	751
Schneider, Sven	361	Weissenstein, Charline	302
Schubert, Gerhard	187	Weston, Mark	595
Schwinn, Tobias	573	Wiertelarz, Kathrin M.	35
Sdegno, Alberto	960	Wikberg, Fredrik	393
Selcuk, Semra Arslan	43	Wurzer, Gabriel	51

Yamu, Claudia Czerkauer	125
Zamenopoulos, Theodore	663, 933
Zancajo, Jose Julio	383
Zarzycki, Andrzej	877
Zupancic ,Tadeja	725, 886, 904

RESPECTING FRAGILE PLACES

The main topics of the conference are recognition, development and encouragement of virtual space design which in a consequence respect the problematic of sensitive and even fragile places of our physical and virtual surroundings.

How do we define the levels of fragility either in physical or in virtual space, or in any fluid architectural endeavours?

How do we respect the diversity of spatial fragility levels while introducing new design interventions?

What can be defined as a (eCAADe-) sensitive / responsive / responsible design intervention?

How to develop physical and virtual laboratories for sensitive / responsive / responsible design interventions?

How to promote sensitive / responsive / responsible design interventions as members of eCAADe and related communities?

What is the future of physical and virtual environments, requiring the most sensitive and responsible design interventions?

These are just a few questions which were addressed at the eCAADe 2011 conference.

eCAADe 'EDUCATION AND RESEARCH IN COMPUTER AIDED ARCHITECTURAL DESIGN IN EUROPE'

eCAADe covers Europe, Middle East, North Africa and Western Asia and works in collaboration with the four other major international associations in the field: ACADIA (www.acadia.org), ASCAAD (www.ascaad.org), CAADRIA (www.caadria.org), CAADFutures (www. caadfutures.org) and SIGRADI (www. sigradi.org). eCAADe has collaborated with these associations to devise and exciting international Journal of Architectural Computing or short IJAC (www.architecturalcomputing.org).











